UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,388	07/07/2006	Akiko Uchikawa	10873.1920USWO	2992	
	7590 12/08/201 U MANN, MUELLER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2902			HUNTLEY, DANIEL CARROLL		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3737		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summers		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/585,3	888	UCHIKAWA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit		
		DANIEL	HUNTLEY	3737		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. by period will apply and w by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be ti vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>27 September</u>	<u>2010</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are valued. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.10	4) Interview Summary			
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 7,604,596 B2 (Hwang('596)) in view of US 6,679,846 B2 (Napolitano('846)).

In re claims 1 and 2, Hwang('596) teach an ultrasonic signal processor in the field of ultrasonic diagnostics. Specifically, Hwang('596) teach a device comprising plural memory devices for storing both digital reception beam and a two-dimensional Doppler data output (col 3, lns 5-28); a control device for controlling reading and writing of data to the memory devices (col 3, lns 29-45); spatial filters for received beams including Doppler data and associated variable filter coefficients (abstract; col 5); a display (col 3, lns 55-67). It is noted that

Application/Control Number: 10/585,388 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

Hwang('596) do not expressly teach a filter coefficient calculation portion. However, in the field of medical ultrasound imaging, Napolitano('846) teaches spatial filter coefficients that are determined as a function of range, elevation, and /or azimuth (col 14). The examiner further notes that Napolitano('846) discloses uses with several beam geometries, including parallel beams (col 17, lns 30-35). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ultrasound circuitry as disclosed by Hwang('596) with the filter coefficient calculating method as disclosed by Napolitano('846) in order to vary the performance of spatial filters according to receive beam characteristics to minimize destructive interference among the receive beams (Napolitano('846) - col 5, lns 1-10). Additionally, the examiner notes that the amendments to claim 1 beginning with 'in order to generate...' describe further method limitations in an apparatus claim without providing additional structural limitations.

In re claims 3-5, Hwang('596) and Napolitano('846) teach the invention as described above, and further, Napolitano('846) teach varying the filtering coefficients in accordance with a varying range, azimuth, or elevation value (col 14, lns 23-37) as well as varying transmit focal position and elevation angles (col 12, lns 18-42). The examiner interprets the range dimension to represent a receive depth.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/27/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 1 is non-compliant because it fails to include a status identifier but the examiner has

examined the claim based on the interpretation that the status identifier should have been "currently amended".

Specifically, Napolitano('846) does disclose the use of parallel beam geometries as cited above. Further, the amendments starting with 'in order to generate the image data' are method style limitations that do not provide any further structural limitation.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL HUNTLEY whose telephone number is (571)270-1217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30-4, alternating Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,388 Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ruth S. Smith/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3737

/DANIEL HUNTLEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 3737